under progress. As per the studies of NWDA, about 2.5 Million hectares of drought prone area will be benefited with irrigation from the link proposals.

(d) The National Water Policy envisages water resources development and planning for hydrological unit such as drainage basin as a whole or a sub-basin. Accordingly, the water resouces are assessed river basin wise and not State-wise.

Inter-State projects

3552. SHRI S.P.M. SYED KHAN: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether many inter-state projects approved for creation of irrigation potential have not been completed due to lack of coordination amongst the States;

(b) if so, the details of the approved projects meant for creation of irrigation potential; and

(c) the status of the implementation of the projects and reasons for delay in impleentation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI JAY PRAKASH NARAYAN YADAV) (a) to (c) Irrigation being a State subject the irrigation projects are planned, formulated, executed and funded by the State Governments out of their own resources and as per their priorities. There are 18 ongoing inter-State major/medium irrigation projects in 10 States in various stages of execution. The status of approved inter-State projects/components are given in the enclosed statement.

Statement

Status of approved inter-State projects/Components

Sl. No.	Name of State/Project	Latest Estimeted cost (Rs. crore)	Likely Exp. Upto March (Rs. crore)	Ultimate potential (Th.ha.)	Likely Potential created upto (Th.ha.)
1	2	3	4	5	6
	BIHAR				
1	Batane (IS with Jharkhand)	57.00	43.72	9.87	7.38
	JHARKHAND				
2	Subernarekha (IS with Orissa)	1755.39	527.71	100.85	3.95

1	2	3	4	5	6
3	Subernarekha (IS with West Bengal)	595 34	38.21	114.20	0.00
	GUJARAT				
4	Sardar Sarovar (IS with Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and Rajasthan)	30823.00	16659.28	1792.00	251 11
	HIMACHAL PRADESH				
5	Shahnahar Irrigation Project (IS with Punjab)	203 84	82.29	24.76	0.96
6	Shahpur Kandi Project (IS with Punjab)	1324 18	128.09	_	0.00
	KARNATAKA				
7	Tungabhadra HLC (IS with Andhra Pradesh)	111.80	76.26	74.47	72.44
	MADHYA PRADESH				
8	Bansagar Dam (IS with Uttar Pradesh & Bihar	880.72	815.86	_	0.00
9	Bansagar Canal (Madhya Pradesh	742.50	269.12	249.36	0.00
10	Bansagar Canal (IS with Uttar Pradesh)	761.85	339.08	150.13	0.00
	MAHARASHTRA				
11	Tillari (IS with Goa)	293.80	542.15	23.65	1.20
12	Tillari (Goa share)	806.56	344.43	12.56	1.08
13	Bawanthandi (IS with Madhya Pradesh)	194.99	169.06	29.41	0.00
	RAJASTHAN				
14	Mahi Bajaj Sagar (IS with Gujarat)	834.88	744.89	71.20	66.65
	UTTAR PRADESH				
15	Rajghat Dam (IS with Madhya Pradesh)	150.00	133.08	0.00	0.00
16	Rajghat Canal (Uttar Pradesh)	457.13	357.00	138.66	113.75

1	2	3	4	5	6
17	Tehri Dam (IS with Uttaranchal) UTTARANCHAL	1180 96	1014.93	270.00	0.00
18	Jamrani Dam (IS with Uttar Pradesh)	433 00	25 87	60.60	21.00

IS- Inter-State

Kalsa Bhanduri Nala Scheme

3553. SHRI K.B. KRISHNA MURTHY: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Kalsa Bhanduri Nala Scheme sent by the State Government of Karnataka is pending with the Central Government for approval;

(b) if so, when the above proposal was sent;

(c) what is the estimated cost of the above proposal and the extent of land to be irrigated from the above scheme; and

(d) what is the present status of the above proposal?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI JAY PRAKASH NARAYAN YADAV): (a) to (d) The Government of Karnataka in April, 2002 requested for clearance of proposal from inter-State angle for diversion of 7.56 TMC of water from Madei basin to Malprabha basin through implementation of Kalsa and Bhandurinalla schemes to meet the drinking water needs of Hubli/Dharwad cities. The proposal was not in the form of Detailed Project Report containing the estimated cost and other details. The Ministry of Water Resources gave an 'in-principle' clearance to the proposal to meet the drinking water need of Hubli/Dharwad from water availability angle on 30th April, 2002. The Government of Goa in July, 2002 took strong exception to the grant of this clearance by the Ministry and requested for setting up of an inter-State Water Disputes Tribunal under the Inter-State water Disputes Act, 1956 for resolution of the disput. In view of this, the 'in-principle' clearance granted